



June 28, 2004  
Donald B. Crawford, President

## **THE STAND** **HOMOSEXUAL DELEGATES**

What a world.

It is absolutely essential that we the people be POLITICALLY CORRECT. PC has won the day. One can only imagine what the guardians of PC will come up with next.

The latest is a beauty. There comes now word from the Democratic National Convention (DNC) that delegates to the National Democrat Convention in Boston in July will have for the very first time a:

### **GAY QUOTA**

Democrat parties in at least 15 states and more to come have set numerical goals-quotas for the number of homosexuals that must be in their state delegations. California for example has set the target for the inclusion of 22 homosexual men and 22 lesbians in their 440-member delegation. That is a full 10%. The Democrats in Maine obviously like the percentage (10%) and their target is the inclusion of at least three gay and lesbian delegates in their total of 35. Maine Democrats wish the world to know how broad minded, liberal and accepting they are. They have therefore announced that if they can not delegate three homosexuals, they are more than willing to accept bisexuals, or transgendered individuals as substitute delegates. God Bless America!

I, however, think that the Democrats are guilty of discrimination, and they have sabotaged the true meaning of PC. I am at a loss to know why the Democrats have not included a delegate quota for transvestites. Surely all such feel very aggrieved by this exclusionary tactic on the part of the Democratic National Convention decision-makers, do they not?

But I think to really be PC there should definitely be a delegate quota for those who are overweight and obese. Surely they have political rights, do they not?

And I would recommend a delegate quota for those who are pro-choice. Why, it might even be politically correct however distasteful to include a delegate or two who might be pro-life. After all, a little tokenism here and there should not be that offensive to the PC'ers, should it?

## The Stand-Homosexual Delegates

June 28, 2004

Page-2

And of course there are the elderly. Surely there should be quotas for men and woman over 65. And while we are at it, why not have quotas for the young, the 20-something's who have a right to be delegates, do they not?

Then there are of course the physically challenged. They have rights. There should be quotas for those in wheelchairs, or who otherwise require physical assistance. And why not have quotas for those with criminal records who are now of course reformed and ready to participate in the political process.

I wonder why there are no RACIAL QUOTAS. Should there not be a minimum number of delegates who are African American, or Asian, and for heaven sakes, there ought to be quotas for NATIVE AMERICANS, should there not? But perhaps the DNC is saving all these other potential quotas-goals for 2008. But there is still time for these PC'ers to do the right thing and establish quotas for all. After all, diversity is the name of the game, is it not? Long live diversity, and multiculturalism, and all things politically correct. There really are only two groups of Americans that have no politically correct rights of any kind. You can do virtually anything you want to a white male under 40. That poor guy has virtually no rights compared to all the new minorities mentioned above. The other group is white men over 40. The only politically correct thing that has happened to them is that they have turned 40! For some reason the law recognizes 40 as old and confers special rights. Other than that, it's not a very good time in America to be a male Caucasian of any age.

Never in the history of America have so many, we the people, been governed and had decisions made for us by so few. The erosion even destruction of anything truly Democratic in this country is appalling, scary. But this aristocratic few know that the vast majority of Americans will be either politically inept, inactive or just flat out don't care. They run amuck, doing whatever they please and telling us, never asking us, the way things will be. If you are a Democrat in California, or Maine or any of the other states with homosexual quotas, why not protest. Why not tell them you feel such a move is unfair, undemocratic, discriminatory, and simply not right. Or, if you are a Democrat and you think homosexual delegate quotas are right as a matter of policy, then why not champion the right of transvestites, or the obese, the elderly, the 20-something's, the physically challenged, the pro-choicers, the former criminals and any others who may be entitled to representation and delegate quota-requirement for the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION IN BOSTON. After all, fair is fair and right is right in this multi-cultured-too-death, politically correct day in age in which we live. What a country.

GOD BLESS AMERICA!

DBC/dw